



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,856	04/26/2001	J. J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves	5543P006	1349

7590 08/17/2004

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP  
Seventh Floor  
12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

CHANKONG, DOHM

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2152

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

### Application No.

09/844,856

### Applicant(s)

GARCIA-LUNA-ACEVES ET AL.

### Examiner

Dohm Chankong

### Art Unit

2152

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4,11/2001 5,4/2002.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

- 1> Claims 1-14 are presented for examination.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

- 2> The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3> Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being unpatentable over McCanne et al, U.S Patent No. 6,415,323 ["McCanne"].

- 4> As to claim 1, McCanne discloses a method, comprising:

receiving a request for an information object at an address identified by a uniform resource locator (URL) [column 15 <lines 59-60>]; and

mapping the URL to a corresponding anycast address for the information object [column 15 <lines 59-65>].

5> As to claim 2, McCanne discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising using resolving the anycast address for the information object to a unicast address for the information object [column 10 <lines 36-43> and column 16 <lines 9-12 and 27-29>].

6> As to claim 3, McCanne discloses the method of claim 2 further comprising sending the information object to the client [column 16 <lines 9-12>].

7> As to claim 4, McCanne discloses the method of claim 3 wherein the request is received at an information object repository that is topologically closer to the client than any other information object repository [claim 10 where: the nodes in the anycast group are equivalent to an information object repository].

8> As to claim 5, McCanne discloses the method of claim 4 wherein the information object repository is selected according to specified performance metrics [column 17 <lines 48-58 and claim 8>].

9> As to claim 6, McCanne discloses the method of claim 5 wherein the performance metrics comprise one or more of: average delay from the selected information object repository to a source of the request, average processing delay at the selected information

Art Unit: 2152

object repository, reliability of a path from the selected information object repository, available bandwidth in said path, and loads on the selected information object repository [column 17 <lines 48-58 and claim 8].

10> As to claim 7, McCanne discloses an information object repository configured to map a uniform resource locator (URL) for an information object to a network layer anycast address [column 14 <line 61> to column 15 <line 33>].

11> As to claim 8, McCanne discloses the information object repository of claim 8 being further configured to advertise anycast address using a network layer anycast routing protocol [column 12 <lines 44-54> and column 20 <lines 40-52>].

12> Claim 9 is a claim to for a network with elements that perform the steps of the method of claim 1. Therefore, claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 4, for claim 1.

13> Claim 10 is a claim for a network with an element that performs the step of the method of claim 2. Therefore, claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 5, for claim 2.

Art Unit: 2152

14> Claim 11 is a claim for a network with an element that performs the step of the method of claim 4. Therefore, claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 7, for claim 4.

15> As to claim 12, McCanne discloses the network of claim 11 further comprising a Web router configured to select the information object repository that is closer to the requesting client than any other of the number of information repositories in the network without regard as to whether the information object is actually stored at the selected information object repository [column 19 <lines 14-26> and column 20 <lines 55-58>].

16> Claim 13 is a claim for a network with an element that performs the step of the method of claim 5. Therefore, claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 8, for claim 5.

17> Claim 14 is a claim for a network with an element that performs the step of the method of claim 6. Therefore, claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 9, for claim 6.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2152

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art in regards to  
anycast networks:

U.S Patent No. 5,822,320 to Horikawa et al;

U.S Patent No. 6,236,652 to Preston et al;

U.S Patent No. 6,687,731 to Kavak;

U.S Patent No. 6,721,291 to Bergenwall et al.

The following non-patent literature is cited to further show the state of the art in  
regards to network layer unicast:

Basturk, Erol, Engel, Robert, Haas, Robert, Peris, Vinod, and Saha, Debanjan. "Using  
Network Layer Anycast for Load Distribution in the Internet", IBM Research Report,  
07.29.1997.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the  
examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is (703)305-8864.  
The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's  
supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone number for the  
organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DC

 **JOHN FOLLANSBEE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**